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# The meso and ( $\pm$ ) Isomers of 1,4-Dimesityl-1,4-dithiabutane 1,4-Dioxide 

By Shirley S. C. Chu and John Madden*<br>School of Engineering and Applied Science, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas 75275, USA

(Received 6 June 1977; accepted 16 September 1977)
1,4-Dimesityl-1,4-dithiabutane 1,4-dioxide, $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$, exists as two isomers. The meso isomer [monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n, a=15.851(6), b=11.565(5), c=5.157(1) \AA, \beta=91.32(2)^{\circ}$ ] possesses a center of symmetry. Both halves of the ( $\pm$ ) isomer [triclinic, $P 1, a=13.056$ (5), $b=7.860$ (2), $c=4.812$ (1) $\AA, a=75.57$ (2), $\beta$ $=94.00(2), \gamma=85.19(2)^{\circ} \mathrm{J}$ have the same conformation with both oxygen atoms having disordered positions. The conformations of the two isomers are different.

## Experimental

Samples of the meso and ( $\pm$ ) isomers of 1,4-dimesityl-1,4-dithiabutane 1,4-dioxides were obtained through the courtesy of Dr A. L. Ternay Jr of the Department of Chemistry of the University of Texas at Arlington. Single crystals in the form of clear prisms were grown from ethanol solution. The unit-cell parameters and the intensity data were measured on a Syntex $P 2_{1}$ diffractometer. A $\theta / 2 \theta$ scanning mode was used in the intensity measurements. A reflection was considered as observed by the criterion $I>3 \cdot 0 \sigma(I)$, where $\sigma(I)$ was determined from counting statistics. The intensity data were reduced to structure amplitudes by the application of Lorentz and polarization factors; no absorption corrections were applied. The crystal and experimental data are given in Table 1.

[^0]
## Structure determination and refinement

Both structures were determined by the heavy-atom method. The refinement was carried out by the fullmatrix least-squares method (Busing, Martin \& Levy, 1962) with isotropic temperature factors and the blockdiagonal least-squares method (Shiono, 1971) with anisotropic temperature factors. Only some $H$ positions were located on difference Fourier syntheses, and the others were calculated on the basis that they make reasonable bond lengths and bond angles with the bonded atoms. In the refinements, isotropic temperature factors were used for the meso isomer. For the $( \pm$ ) isomer, the positional and thermal parameters of the H atoms were not refined, and their isotropic thermal parameters were made the same as those of the atoms to which they are bonded. Cruickshank's (1965) weighting scheme was used in order to make $\left[\Sigma w\left(F_{o}-\right.\right.$ $F)^{2} /(\text { number of reflections - number of parameters) }]^{1 / 2}$ approximately equal to unity, and the average $w\left(F_{o}-\right.$ $\left.F_{c}\right)^{2}$ is approximately constant for different magnitudes

Table 1. Crystal data of 1,4-dimesityl-1,4-dithiabutane 1,4-dioxide

| Chemical formula: $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$, FW 362.56 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | meso Isomer | ( $\pm$ ) Isomer |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P 2 / n$ | $P 1$ |
| Unit-cell dimensions | $a=15.851$ (6) $\AA$ | $a=13.056$ (5) $\AA$ |
|  | $b=11.565$ (5) | $b=7.860$ (2) |
|  | $c=5.157(1)$ | $c=4.812(1)$ |
|  | $\beta=91.32$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\alpha=75.57(2)^{\circ}$ |
|  |  | $\beta=94.00$ (2) |
|  |  | $\gamma=85.19$ (2) |
| $V$ | $945.2 \AA^{3}$ | $474.3 \AA^{3}$ |
| $D_{\text {c }}$ | $1.274 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ | $1.269 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ |
| $D_{m}$ (flotation) | 1.26 | 1.26 |
| Number of molecules per unit cell, $Z$ | 2 | 1 |
| X-radiation used for data collection | $\lambda($ Mo $K(\alpha)=0.7107 \AA$ | $\lambda(\mathrm{CuK}(\mathrm{x})=1.5418 \AA$ |
| Linear absorption coefficient | $\mu($ Mo $K \boldsymbol{\alpha})=2.858 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ | $\mu(\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha)=25.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ |
| Total number of reflections | $1661\left(2 \theta_{\max }=50^{\circ}\right)$ | $1826\left(2 \theta_{\text {max }}=140^{\circ}\right)$ |
| Number of reflections with $I>3 \sigma(I)$ | 1368 | 1726 |
| Goodness of fit | 0.86 | 0.81 |
| Maximum residual electron density | $0.34 \mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}$ | $0.58 \mathrm{e}^{\text {A }}$-3 |
| Disagreement index, $R$ | 0.063 | 0.051 |
| Crystal size | $0.12 \times 0.27 \times 0.54 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $0.12 \times 0.21 \times 0.48 \mathrm{~mm}$ |

of $F_{o}$ and $\sin \theta$. The final $R$ indices $\left(\Sigma \| F_{o} \mid-\right.$ $\left.\left|F_{c}\right||/ \Sigma| F_{o} \mid\right)$ were 0.063 and 0.051 for the meso and $( \pm)$ isomers respectively.* The atomic scattering factors used for $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{O}$, and C atoms were those from International Tables for $X$-ray Crystallography (1962) and, for H, the values given by Stewart, Davidson \& Simpson (1965) were used. The final positional parameters are given in Table 2.

## Discussion

1,4-Diaryl-1,4-dithiabutane 1,4-dioxides (I) exist as two isomers, meso and ( $\pm$ ), and each meso isomer possesses a center of symmetry. The crystal structures of the meso and ( $\pm$ ) isomers of the phenyl derivative of (I) have been determined in this laboratory (Ternay, Lin, Sutliff, Chu \& Chung, 1977). In this work, the crystal structures of the mesityl derivatives of (I) have been determined in order to correlate their molecular structures in the solid state with the proton magnetic resonance data in solution (Ternay, 1968; Ternay, Lin, Sutliff, Chu \& Chung, 1977).

(I)

[^1]The configurations of the two isomers are shown in the ORTEP drawings (Johnson, 1965) in Fig. 1. The meso isomer possesses a center of symmetry, and both halves of the $( \pm)$ isomer have the same conformation with both O atoms having disordered positions. The occupancy factors of these O atoms are shown in Fig. 2.

(a)

(b)

Fig. 1. ORTEP drawings of 1,4-dimesityl-1,4-dithiabutane 1,4dioxide: (a) meso isomer, $(b)( \pm)$ isomer.

Table 2. Fractional atomic coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$, for $\left.\qquad \mathrm{H} \times 10^{3}\right)$
$\begin{aligned} & \text { The estimated standard deviations are given in parentheses and } \\ & \text { refer to the last positions of respective values. }\end{aligned}$

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| meso Isomer |  | $y$ |  |
| S | $5106(0)$ | $3680(1)$ | $3090(1)$ |
| O | $5908(2)$ | $3205(4)$ | $4046(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $4496(2)$ | $2673(2)$ | $1165(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $3617(2)$ | $2727(2)$ | $1459(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $3116(2)$ | $1998(3)$ | $-76(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $3447(2)$ | $1241(3)$ | $-1803(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $4318(2)$ | $1186(3)$ | $-1977(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $4861(2)$ | $1885(2)$ | $-518(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $3201(2)$ | $3522(3)$ | $3354(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $2894(3)$ | $479(4)$ | $-3498(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $5803(2)$ | $1760(4)$ | $-782(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $5378(2)$ | $4678(2)$ | $512(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(3)$ | $255(2)$ | $201(3)$ | $0(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(5)$ | $455(2)$ | $69(3)$ | $-298(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(7) 1$ | $332(3)$ | $340(4)$ | $516(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(7) 2$ | $264(3)$ | $333(4)$ | $332(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(7) 3$ | $327(3)$ | $434(3)$ | $310(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(8) 1$ | $280(3)$ | $-21(4)$ | $-301(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(8) 2$ | $291(4)$ | $57(5)$ | $-503(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(8) 3$ | $244(4)$ | $57(5)$ | $-305(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(9) 1$ | $600(3)$ | $239(4)$ | $-169(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(9) 2$ | $614(4)$ | $176(5)$ | $105(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(9) 3$ | $588(3)$ | $119(4)$ | $-165(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(19) 1$ | $570(2)$ | $430(3)$ | $-72(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(19) 2$ | $574(2)$ | $516(3)$ | $138(6)$ |


| ( $\pm$ ) Isomer |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| S(1) | $0(0)$ | $0(0)$ | $0(0)$ |
| S(2) | $2667(1)$ | $2053(2)$ | $3472(3)$ |
| O(1) | $604(6)$ | $-1439(9)$ | $-957(15)$ |
| O(2) | $3122(5)$ | $358(7)$ | $-4252(11)$ |
| O$^{*}(1)$ | $-371(10)$ | $1606(20)$ | $-2166(24)$ |
| O$^{*}(2)$ | $2098(10)$ | $3528(23)$ | $4515(28)$ |
| C(1) | $-893(4)$ | $-803(7)$ | $2564(11)$ |
| C(2) | $-1693(4)$ | $366(7)$ | $2949(12)$ |
| C(3) | $-2358(4)$ | $-291(8)$ | $4998(14)$ |
| C(4) | $-2251(5)$ | $-2059(8)$ | $6626(12)$ |
| C(5) | $-1488(5)$ | $-3165(7)$ | $6049(11)$ |
| C(6) | $-778(4)$ | $-2543(7)$ | $4147(12)$ |
| C(7) | $-1854(5)$ | $2328(8)$ | $1415(16)$ |
| C(8) | $-3004(5)$ | $-2602(9)$ | $8857(12)$ |
| C(9) | $60(5)$ | $-3896(9)$ | $3628(15)$ |
| C(10) | $3605(4)$ | $211(7)$ | $968(11)$ |
| C(11) | $4417(4)$ | $1674(7)$ | $522(12)$ |
| C(12) | $5119(4)$ | $2277(7)$ | $-1423(11)$ |
| C(13) | $5011(4)$ | $4008(7)$ | $-3013(11)$ |
| C(14) | $4181(4)$ | $5144(7)$ | $-2605(13)$ |
| C(15) | $3489(4)$ | $4655(8)$ | $-497(12)$ |
| C(16) | $4580(7)$ | $-266(9)$ | $2197(19)$ |
| C(17) | $5713(6)$ | $4709(9)$ | $-5250(14)$ |
| C(18) | $2611(6)$ | $5929(10)$ | $-334(18)$ |
| C(19) | $894(4)$ | $616(8)$ | $2552(12)$ |
| C(20) | $1774(4)$ | $1384(8)$ | $963(11)$ |
| H(3) | -309 | 26 | 553 |
| H(5) | -150 | -425 | 655 |
| H(7)1 | -121 | 305 | 153 |
| H(7)2 | -187 | 260 | -78 |
| H(7)3 | -215 | 337 | 194 |
| H(8)1 | -288 | -371 | 978 |
| H(8)2 | -365 | -192 | 802 |

Table 2 (cont.)
$x$
-303
66
27
2
570
403
543
436
394
579
548
637
272
191
258
37
113
161
236

| $y$ | $z$ |
| :---: | ---: |
| -208 | 1024 |
| -362 | 414 |
| -373 | 161 |
| -505 | 463 |
| 150 | -230 |
| 652 | -369 |
| -77 | 225 |
| -75 | 24 |
| -110 | 303 |
| 584 | -572 |
| 434 | -655 |
| 389 | -427 |
| 610 | 187 |
| 538 | -79 |
| 685 | -206 |
| 152 | 324 |
| -49 | 391 |
| 227 | -39 |
| 72 | 71 |

The identification of the atoms and the bond lengths and bond angles, with their standard deviations, are shown in Fig. 2. Except for the large $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}\left(s p^{2}\right)$ bond angle with a mean value of $113.3 \pm 0.4^{\circ}$, all other bond lengths and bond angles involving the S atom are in agreement with other sulfoxide structures (Chu, 1975). There exist close non-bonded intramolecular contacts between the O and the methyl group in the mesityl substituent which are listed in Table 3. These close contacts also exist in the structures of both isomers of the phenyl derivative of (I) (Ternay, Lin, Sutiff, Chu \& Chung, 1977). These are presumably due to the weak hydrogen bonding from the lone-pair electrons on O atoms. However, the H positions, especially those on the methyl groups, were not determined with enough accuracy to make a definite conclusion.

The conformation of both isomers can be illustrated from the torsion angles about the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds, as shown in Table 3. The torsion angles about the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}$ (ethano) bonds ( $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ fragment) indicated that the meso isomer has the anti-gauche conformation and both halves of the ( $\pm$ ) isomer have the gauche-anti conformation. However, in the phenyl derivative of (I), the two halves of the molecule of the $( \pm)$ isomer have different conformations, gauche-gauche and gaucheanti, and the meso isomer has the gauche-gauche conformation (Ternay, Lin, Sutliff, Chu \& Chung, 1977). The crystal structure of the meso isomer of the methyl derivative of (I) was also studied (Svinning, Mo \& Bruun, 1976); the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ fragment has the gauche-anti conformation. The torsion angles about the S and C (aryl) bonds indicated that the mesityl ring has a partial-eclipse conformation with respect to the S-O bond. This partial-eclipse conformation was also observed in the phenyl derivative of
(I); however, the methyl derivative of (I) has the staggered conformation about the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}$ (methyl) bond (Svinning, Mo \& Bruun, 1976). The partial-eclipse conformation, which was preferred in the aryl derivatives of (I), is apparently due to the interactions between O atoms and C (aryl) as indicated by the close non-bonded intramolecular contacts.

The least-squares planes of the mesityl groups calculated from the six-membered benzene rings indicated that they deviated slightly from the planar configuration. The deviations range from 0.003 to 0.04 $\AA$. The methyl C atoms are out of the plane of the benzene rings by the order of 0.02 to $0.08 \AA$. The packing of the molecules in the crystal is determined


Fig. 2. Bond lengths $(\AA)$ and bond angles $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ of 1,4 -dimesityl-1,4-dithiabutane 1,4 -dioxide with e.s.d.'s in parentheses: (a) meso isomer, (b) $( \pm)$ isomer.

Table 3. Torsion angles $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ about the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds
The angle is positive if measured clockwise.

| O-S-C(1)-C(2) | 143.5 | O...S-C(19)-C(1) | 117.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O-S-C(1)-C(6) | -36.5 | $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}(19)-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | -68.2 |
| C(19)-S-C(1)-C(2) | -105.7 |  |  |
| C(19)-S--C(1)-C(6) | 74.3 |  |  |
| ( $\pm$ ) Isomer |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $-160 \cdot 7$ | $\mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | -155.5 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $20 \cdot 1$ | $\mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $23 \cdot 0$ |
| $\mathrm{O}^{*}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | -20.9 | $\mathrm{O}^{*}(2) \cdots \mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | -20.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 90.9 | $\mathrm{C}(20) \cdots \mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 92.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | -88.3 | $\mathrm{C}(20) \cdots \mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | -88.8 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 64.6 | $\mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $64 \cdot 3$ |
| O*(1)-S(1)-C(19)-C(20) | -61.4 | $\mathrm{O}^{*}(2) \cdots \mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | -64.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $180 \cdot 3$ | $\mathrm{C}(10) \cdots \mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 178.2 |

Table 4. Non-bonded intra- and intermolecular distances ( $\AA$ )

| Intramolecular distances |  | Intermolecular distances |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| meso Isomer |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{C}(9)$ | 3.00 | $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{C}(9)^{a}$ | $3 \cdot 15$ |
| ( $\pm$ ) Isomer |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1) \cdots \mathrm{C}(9)$ | 2.73 | $\mathrm{O}(1) \cdots \mathrm{C}(6)^{\text {b }}$ | $3 \cdot 20$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{C}(16)$ | 2.74 | $\mathrm{O}(1) \cdots \mathrm{C}(19)^{\text {b }}$ | $3 \cdot 20$ |
| $\mathrm{O}^{*}(1) \cdots \mathrm{C}(7)$ | 2.79 | $\mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{C}(11)^{a}$ | $3 \cdot 19$ |
| $\mathrm{O}^{*}(2) \cdots \mathrm{C}(18)$ | 2.77 | $\mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{C}(20)^{a}$ | 3.38 |
|  |  | O * 1 ) $\cdots \mathrm{C}(2)^{\text {b }}$ | 3.21 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{O}^{*}(1) \cdots \mathrm{C}(19)^{\text {b }}$ | $3 \cdot 34$ |
|  |  | $\mathrm{O}^{*}(2) \cdots \mathrm{C}(15)^{a}$ | 3.25 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{O}^{*}(2) \cdots \mathrm{C}(20)^{a}$ | 3.22 |

Symmetry code
(a) $x, y, 1+z$
(b) $x, y,-1+z$
mainly by van der Waals interactions; however, there are some close intermolecular contacts between the $O$ atoms and the mesityl C atoms, as shown in Table 4.
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